

Ser. No.10/084,774  
Amdt. dated June 29th, 2007  
Reply to Office action of December 29th, 2006

PU020046

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 29 2007

Remarks/Arguments35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-2, 6-7, and 12-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(c) as being anticipated by Yaguchi Sadao (JP 11-112376).

It is respectfully submitted that Sadao does not teach or suggest "converter circuitry operative to receive a first satellite television signal and a second satellite television signal and to block downconvert the first satellite television signal and the second satellite television signal" followed by "coarse tuning circuitry in communication with said converter circuitry and operative to coarse tune the first block downconverted satellite television signal and the second block downconverted television signal" as recited by the original claim 1.

The present invention has teaches a system which first block downconvertsa first satellite television signal and a second satellite television signal. The present invention then utilizes course tuning circuitry to course tune the block downconverted signals.

It is submitted that Sadao merely selects the desired television channel at the outdoor unit by conventionally tuning a single satellite television channel on a satellite frequency using a PLL (214), a mixer (203) and a local oscillator (213). Sadao does not teach or suggest block downconverting a first and second satellite television signal before tuning the television signal. Furthermore, it is further submitted that Sadao does not suggest "oscillator circuitry in communication with said converter circuitry and said coarse tuning circuitry, and operative to generate and provide an oscillator signal to said converter circuitry for block downconverting the first satellite television signal and the second satellite television signal. and to generate and provide the oscillator signal to said coarse tuning circuitry for coarse tuning the first downconverted satellite television signal and the second downconverted satellite television signal" as recited by the currently amended claim 1.

It is for these reasons that it is submitted that claim 1 is allowable. Such action is respectfully requested. Furthermore, it is submitted that independent claims 6 and 11 are allowable for at least the same reasons that claim 1 is allowable. Such action is respectfully requested. Since dependant claims 2-5, 7-10, and 12-15 are dependant from allowable claims 1, 6, and 11 respectively, it is submitted that they too are allowable for at least the

PU020046


Ser. No. 10/084,774  
Amdt. dated June 29th, 2007  
Reply to Office action of December 29th, 2006

same reasons for which their respective independent claims are allowable. Such action is respectfully requested.

Having fully addressed the Examiner's rejections it is believed that, in view of the preceding amendments and remarks, this application stands in condition for allowance. Accordingly then, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited. If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's representative at (609) 734-6804, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled.

The commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the previously mentioned 3 month extension fee as well as any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account 07-0832.

Respectfully submitted

By:   
Brian J. Cromarty  
Reg. No. L0027  
Phone (609) 734-6804

Patent Operations  
Thomson Licensing Inc.  
P.O. Box 5312  
Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5312  
June 29, 2007